	·			
1 2 3 4 5	MAUREEN E. MCCLAIN (State Bar No. 06205 Email: mcclain@kmm.com ALEX HERNAEZ (State Bar No. 201441) Email: hernaez@kmm.com KAUFF MCCLAIN & MCGUIRE LLP One Post Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 421-3111 Facsimile: (415) 421-0938	0)		
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.			
8 9 10 11	BETH HIRSCH BERMAN (VA Bar No. 28091) Email: bberman@williamsmullen.com WILLIAMS MULLEN 999 Waterside Drive 1700 Dominion Tower Norfolk, VA 23510 Telephone: (757) 629-0604 Facsimile: (757) 629-0660			
12	Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for Defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.			
13	BOLL IN THEE GYONES, ING.			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16				
17	KASSONDRA BAAS and KELLY LOFQUIST, individually and on behalf of all others	CASE NO. C 07-03108 JSW		
18	similarly situated,	DECLARATION OF BETH HIRSCH		
19	Plaintiffs,	BERMAN IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION		
20	v.			
21	DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.,	DATE: April 4, 2008 TIME: 9:00 a.m. DEPT.: Crtrm. 2, 17th Floor		
22	Defendant.	DEPT.: Crtrm. 2, 17th Floor JUDGE: Hon. Jeffrey S. White		
23		COMPLAINT FILED: June 13, 2007		
24		TRIAL DATE: No date set.		
25		•		
26				
27				
28				

-1-

KAUFF MCCLAIN &
MCGUIRE LLP
ONE POST STREET
SUITE 2600
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

TELEPHONE (415) 421-3111

9

12

15

18

21

25

28 Kauff, McClain & McGuire LLP

I. Beth Hirsch Berman, declare as follows:

- 1. I am over the age of eighteen and have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below. If called upon as a witness, I could testify competently thereto.
- 2. I am a shareholder in the law firm of Williams Mullen, P.C., pro hac vice counsel for Dollar Tree Stores, Inc. in the above captioned matter.
- 3. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the Initial Disclosures received from Plaintiffs in this matter.
- 4. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of Defendant, John D. Hansen's Response to Defendant's Request for Production of Documents in the matter of Miguel A. Cruz and John D. Hansen v. Dollar Tree Stores, Inc., Case No. 07-02050 SC. In Request No. 14, Dollar Tree asked Hansen to produce all documents referencing his counsel's representation of Kassondra Bassignani (aka Kassondra Baas) and Kelly Lofquist. Hansen declined to produce any such documents generally and specifically relating to any informed waiver by Hansen with regard to his counsel's dual representation.
- 5. As a result of Hansen's refusal to answer the foregoing reguest, a meet and confer was held among Jeremy Fietz on behalf of Plaintiffs, and Maureen McClain and me on behalf of Dollar Tree. Mr. Fietz, on behalf of Hansen, Cruz, Baas and Lofquist continued to refuse to produce any documents relating to his clients informed waiver. As a follow-up to the meet and confer, Maureen McClain sent an email to Mr. Fietz, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C, advising Mr. Fietz's that his continued refusal to provide any waivers or consents on the part of his

clients would cause Dollar Tree to oppose any attempted use on his part to rely on such documents during the course of any proceedings.

- 6. On October 11, 2007 and November 1, 2007, I attended the deposition of John D. Hansen. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the relevant portions of the transcript of that deposition.
- 7. On October 12, 2007 and November 2, 2007, I attended the deposition of Miguel Cruz. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of the relevant portions of the transcript of that deposition.
- 8. On October 15, 2007, I attended the deposition of Kelly Lofquist.

 Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of the relevant portions of the transcript of that deposition.
- 9. On October 17, 2007, I attended the deposition of Kassondra Baas. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of the relevant portions of the transcript of that deposition.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed in Norfolk, Virginia this 5th day of March, 2008.

BETH HIRSCH BERMAN

1251716

2627

25

28

KAUFF, MCCLAIN & MCGUIRE LLP ONE POST STREET SUITE 2600 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 TELEPHONE (415) 421-3111 -3-

EXHIBIT A

10/08/2007 17:40 707-578-Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64 Filed 03/13/2008

Page 5 of PAGE

F.R.C.P. §26(a)(1)(A) - Witnesses:

Plaintiff: Kassondra Baas:

A former employee of Dollar Tree, is represented by the Edgar Law Firm and may be contacted through counsel. Ms. Baas is expected to have information concerning 1) the manner in which the time-recording system was operated, 2) the manner in which the computer time recording program was manipulated to reduce the wages earned by store employees.

Plaintiff: Kelly Lofquist:

A former employee of Dollar Tree, is represented by the Edgar Law Firm and may be contacted through counsel. Ms. Baas is expected to have information concerning 1) the manner in which the time-recording system was operated, 2) the manner in which the computer time recording program was manipulated to reduce the wages earned by store employees.

Current and former Dollar Tree employees:

Miguel A. Cruz:

A former employee of Dollar Tree, is represented by the Edgar Law Firm and may be contacted through counsel. Mr. Cruz is expected to have information concerning 1) the manner in which the time-recording system was operated, 2) the way in which he, as a store manager, was instructed by his supervisor to alter the computer

PLAINTIFFS' INITIAL DISCLOSURE

CASE NO. 07-03108 JSW

14 15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

time records to lessen the total time paid to hourly store employees as well as to move time from overtime days to non-overtime days.

John D. Hansen:

A current employee of Dollar Tree, is represented by the Edgar Law Firm and may be contacted through counsel. Mr. Hansen is expected to have information concerning: 1) the manner in which the time-recording system is operated, 2) the way in which he, as a store manager, was instructed by his supervisor to alter the computer time records to lessen the total time paid to hourly store employees as well as to move time from overtime days to nonovertime days.

Other witnesses:

It is anticipated that several employees of Dollar Tree have knowledge of the matters alleged in the complaint - their identities are known to Dollar Tree and will be subject to further discovery. Particularly, it is anticipated that Dollar Tree is aware of the identity of witnesses concerning the manner in which the time-recording computer programs operated, the manner in which the data may be manipulated, and the manner in which payroll is processed utilizing the time record data. Dollar Tree is also expected to be aware of the identities of store managers and former store managers who were instructed to manipulate the time records.

-3-

F.R.C.P. §26(a)(1)(B) - Documents:

Dollar Tree-related documents in the possession of the Plaintiffs have already been produced to defendant in the *Cruz/Hansen* litigation. Plaintiffs may have additional paystubs (however, Dollar Tree has possession of these documents in any event).

It is believed by Plaintiffs that the electronic records of the computer system will be integral in establishing the extent to which compensable time was stolen from store employees. Plaintiffs have been informed that Defendant has taken steps to preserve such information for production and use in this litigation.

F.R.C.P. §26(a)(1)(C) – Computation of Damages:

The calculation of damages sought in this action is dependent upon the records of Defendant's computer time tracking system. More specifically, Plaintiffs are currently unable to calculate damages without knowing which exact dates, and in what amounts, time was erased, and which exact dates, and in what amounts, their time was moved from premium (overtime) pay to regular pay. It is anticipated that the production of these computer records will enable Plaintiff to conduct, with exactitude, such calculations for themselves and the entire class. Generally speaking, Plaintiffs are entitled to the premium pay (.5 times their hourly rate) for all time that was moved from an overtime period to a non-overtime period. Plaintiffs are entitled to receive their appropriate hourly rate for all regular time erased from the computer system, and time and a half for all overtime erased from the system. Plaintiffs are entitled to statutory "walting time" and other penalties, attorneys' fees, costs, and interest, based upon the violations of statutes alleged in the Complaint. In addition, Plaintiffs seek damages for

-4-

PLAINTIFFS' INITIAL DISCLOSURE

CASE NO. 07-03108 JSW

.17

Dollar Tree's failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements as required by Labor Code §226(e). The Labor Code provides for the greater of actual damages or a penalty of \$50 for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and \$100 per employee for each violation in a subsequent pay period (up to a maximum of \$4,000), in addition to attorney's fees and costs. Plaintiffs also claim tort damages for fraud, including punitive damages. These amounts are also not subject to calculation until such time as discovery has been produced by the Defense.

Plaintiffs anticipate that upon the production of appropriate compensation and time records for each putative class member, Plaintiffs will have a computation performed that will then be provided to the defense. Plaintiffs also intend to retain an expert to calculate the applicable interest and penalties. Essentially, the manner of calculation of damages will be the same for each member of the putative class.

Dated: October 8, 2007

EDGAR LAW FIRM

By:

Jeremy R Fietz, Esq.

- 5 -

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the City and County of Santa Rosa, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 408 College Avenue, Santa Rosa, California 95401. I served the foregoing document(s) described as:

PLAINTIFFS' INITIAL DISCLOSURES

on the interested parties by placing () the original (X) a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

MAUREEN E. McCLAIN
ALEX HERNAEZ
KAUFF McCLAIN & McGUIRE LLPOne
Post Street, Suite 2600San Francisco,
California 94104
Telephone: (415) 421-3111

Facsimile: (415) 421-0938

BETH HIRSCH BERMAN
WILLIAMS MULLEN
Dominion Tower, Suite 1700
999 Waterside Drive
Norfolk, VA 23510
Telephone: (757) 629-0604
Facsimile: (757) 629-0660

Attorneys for Defendant

13 14

X

VIA FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION:

By causing the above referenced material to be transmitted to the facsimile numbers listed above (with confirmation of successful transmission thereof).

16

17

18

19

15

VIA U.S. MAIL:

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for malling. Under that practice such envelope(s) would be deposited with the U.S. postal service with postage thereon fully prepaid, at Santa Rosa, California.

20 21

X

FEDERAL:

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

2223

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California, and United States of America that the above is true and correct and was executed on October 8, 2007.

-6-

25

24

JEREMY R. FIETZ

26 27

28

PLAINTIFFS' INITIAL DISCLOSURE

CASE NO. 07-03108 JSW

EXHIBIT B

27

28

RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All DOCUMENTS relating to employment YOU held prior to working for DOLLAR TREE.

RESPONSE NO. 1:

Plaintiff objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and violative of Plaintiff's privacy rights. Without waiving said objection, Plaintiff produces his resume, which highlights his jobs prior to working for Dollar Tree at Exhibit A.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

All DOCUMENTS YOU received or obtained from DOLLAR TREE at any time.

RESPONSE NO. 2:

Plaintiff produces all Dollar Tree documents located in his possession, or provided to counsel, at Exhibit B.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

All communications or filings made by YOU or on YOUR behalf with any governmental agency or court, state, federal or local (apart from this LAWSUIT) that concerned YOUR employment at DOLLAR TREE.

RESPONSE NO. 3:

Plaintiff is unaware of any documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

All DOCUMENTS which mention DOLLAR TREE or relate to YOUR employment at DOLLAR TREE.

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

RESPONSE NO. 4:

Plaintiff objects that the request is overly broad and violative of the attorney workproduct doctrine. Without waiving said objection Plaintiff produces all Dollar Tree documents located in his possession, or provided to counsel, at Exhibit B.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

All notations YOU have made at any time which reference or concern YOUR employment at DOLLAR TREE, including DOCUMENTS referencing YOUR job responsibilities, YOUR hours of work, or YOUR pay at DOLLAR TREE.

RESPONSE NO. 5:

Plaintiff produces all Dollar Tree documents located in his possession, or provided to counsel, at Exhibit B.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

All communications (including e-mail) YOU have had with anyone (aside from your counsel as identified on a privilege log) concerning YOUR employment at DOLLAR TREE, whether YOU sent or received them.

RESPONSE NO. 6:

Plaintiff is unaware of any documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

All communications (including e-mail) YOU have had with anyone (aside from YOUR counsel as identified on a privilege log) concerning the allegations of YOUR COMPLAINT.

RESPONSE NO. 7:

Plaintiff is unaware of any documents responsive to this request.

7

12

14 15

17

16

19

18

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

All DOCUMENTS relating to any internal complaints YOU made to DOLLAR TREE regarding any of the allegations made in YOUR COMPLAINT.

RESPONSE NO. 8:

Plaintiff is unaware of any documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

All DOCUMENTS supporting or relating to YOUR allegations in Paragraph 70(e) of the COMPLAINT that YOU were told by a variety of persons at DOLLAR TREE that YOU were an exempt employee.

RESPONSE NO. 9:

Plaintiff produces all Dollar Tree documents located in his possession, or provided to counsel, at Exhibit B. Documents specifically responsive to this request include, but are not limited to, the paystubs (wage statements).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

All DOCUMENTS which support or demonstrate that YOUR supervisor or other DOLLAR TREE managers had knowledge of how YOU performed YOUR job duties.

RESPONSE NO. 10:

Plaintiff produces all Dollar Tree documents located in his possession, or provided to counsel, at Exhibit B.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

All DOCUMENTS (aside from ones produced in response to previous requests) which itemize, document or support YOUR claim for compensatory damages in the LAWSUIT.

RESPONSE NO. 11:

3

2

Plaintiff produces all Dollar Tree documents located in his possession, or provided to counsel, at Exhibit B.

5

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

6 7

All DOCUMENTS (aside from ones produced in response to previous requests) which itemize, document or support YOUR claims for penalty amounts in the LAWSUIT.

8

RESPONSE NO. 12:

10

Plaintiff produces all Dollar Tree documents located in his possession, or provided to counsel, at Exhibit B.

11

12

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

13

All DOCUMENTS supporting YOUR request for the recovery of attorneys' fees, including any contract YOU have with YOUR counsel concerning the payment of attorneys' fees and/or litigation costs.

15 16

14

RESPONSE NO. 13:

17 18

19

Plaintiff objects to this request, as it is invasive of the attorney-client privilege and attorney work-product doctrine, and is premature. If the plaintiffs prevail in their claims an award of attorneys' fees and costs, and the basis therefore, will be established at the

20 21

appropriate time.

22 23

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

25 26

24

All DOCUMENTS referencing YOUR counsel's present representation of Kassondra Bassignani (also referenced as Kassondra Baas) and/or Kelly Lofquist.

27

28

RESPONSE NO. 14:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff objects to this request, as it is invasive of the attorney-client privilege and attorney work-product doctrine. Plaintiff further objects that this request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and not calculated to lead to the discovery admissible evidence in this matter. Without waiving said objections, upon information and belief, Plaintiff includes, at Exhibit B, Dollar Tree documents relating to Ms. Baas and Ms. Lofquist and/or provided by them.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

All DOCUMENTS relating to any other LAWSUIT or legal claim YOU have brought (or participated in as a class member) concerning any employment-related claims.

RESPONSE NO. 15:

Plaintiff is unaware of any documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

All DOCUMENTS showing or relating to any information that YOU have regarding the performance of job duties by the other DOLLAR TREE Store Managers (aside from YOU and YOUR co-Plaintiff).

RESPONSE NO. 16:

Plaintiff produces all Dollar Tree documents located in his possession, or provided to counsel, at Exhibit B.

Dated: September 14, 2007

EDGAR-LAW FIRM

By:

Donald S. Edgar, Esq. Jeremy R Fietz, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs

- 6 -

VERIFICATION:

I, JOHN D. HANSEN, declare that:

I am a Plaintiff in the action herein. I have read Plaintiff, John D. Hansen's Response to the Defendant's Request for Production of Documents, Set One, herein and know the contents thereof. The same is true of my own knowledge except to those matters alleged upon information and belief, which matters I believe to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 14, 2007

PROOF OF SERVICE

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I am employed in the City and County of Santa Rosa, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 408 College Avenue, Santa Rosa, California 95401. I served the foregoing document(s) described as:

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

on the interested parties by placing () the original (X) a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

MAUREEN E. McCLAIN
ALEX HERNAEZ
KAUFF McCLAIN & McGUIRE LLP
One Post Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 421-3111
Facsimile: (415) 421-0938

Attorneys for Defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.

(Via UPS with all documents)

BETH HIRSCH BERMAN WILLIAMS MULLEN Dominion Tower, Suite 1700 999 Waterside Drive Norfolk, VA 23510 Telephone: (757) 629-0604 Facsimile: (757) 629-0660

Attorneys For Defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.

(Via US Mail w/o documents)

X VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL:

By delivering such documents to an overnight mail service or an authorized courier in an envelope or package designated by the express service courier addressed to the person(s) on whom it is to be served.

VIA U.S. MAIL:

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. Under that practice such envelope(s) would be deposited with the U.S. postal service with postage thereon fully prepaid, at Santa Rosa, California.

X FEDERAL:

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California, and United States of America that the above is true and correct and was executed on September 14, 2007.

JEREMY R. FIETZ

EXHIBIT C

REDACTED

From: Jeremy Fietz [mailto:jeremy@classattorneys.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 2:52 PM

To: McClain, Maureen **Cc:** Berman, Beth Hirsch

Subject: RE: meet and confer session re Plaintiffs' discovery responses

Maureen,

We are reviewing your memorandum and will respond next week regarding any reconsideration of our discovery objections. We will also advise as to whether we would consider any change in our willingness to provide writings between our office and our clients (thought it is likely that we will not change our position on the attorney-client privilege basis). Regarding the amended complaint in Baas/Lofquist, we understand that the only issue of dispute is that you do not want us to have a cause of action for Meal periods because of the potential class-wide settlement of those causes of action pending in southern California. While we understand that the pending settlement may propose a release of such claims, unless and until those claims are released, we don't believe there is any sound legal basis to prevent an amendment. Will you agree to permit the amended complaint or do we need Court intervention to sort out the meal break cause of action issue? If you will not stipulate to the amendment will you agree to a joint letter to the Court or is it your position that a formal motion is necessary?

Sincerely, Jeremy

----Original Message----

From: McClain, Maureen [mailto:mcclain@kmm.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 11:00 AM

To: Jeremy Fietz

Cc: Berman, Beth Hirsch

Subject: meet and confer session re Plaintiffs' discovery responses

Jeremy: As a follow-up to our meet and confer session of February 1, 2008, I attach a memorandum regarding Mr. Cruz's use of multiple social security numbers and multiple names in conjunction with employment. Please let me know whether you will reconsider your objections. With reference to a second topic of our meet and confer session, that of Plaintiffs providing any consents to conflicting representation signed by any of your four clients in the Cruz/Hansen and Baas/Lofquist matters, you said that you would never provide such information to defendant on the grounds of attorney client privilege. We disagree with your assertion of the privilege, and hereby provide notice that we will oppose any attempt on your part to rely upon any such documents in the course of any court proceedings given that they have not been produced to us. Regarding the third topic of our meet and confer discussion (that of your proposed amendment to the Baas/Lofquist complaint, Ms. Berman has communicated, and will communicate further, on that topic with you. Sincerely, Maureen McClain

EXHIBIT D

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

--000--

MIGUEL A. CRUZ, and John D. Hansen, individually and on bahalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. C07-02050 SC

vs.

DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF JOHN D. HANSEN

DATE:

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2007

TIME:

10:05 a.m.

LOCATION:

Kauff, McClain & McGuire One Post Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California

PREFERRED REPORTERS
Certified Shorthand Reporters
201 E. Watmaugh Road
Sonoma, California 95476
707-938-9227

REPORTED BY: Wendy L. Van Meerbeke, CSR #3676

- 2 how I ended up with the two.
- 3 Q. It was your decision to discharge
- 4 Ms. Baas; correct?
- 5 A. Yes. Well, of course, I had counseling
- 6 from -- what was her name? Candace Camp and Rick.
- 7 Q. Ms. Camp was and is a regional human
- 8 resource person; correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Was it your practice, when you were
- 11 discharging an employee at your store, to consult
- 12 with Ms. Camp?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Is it correct that that consultation
- 15 consisted of you telling Ms. Camp what the
- 16 performance problems were and Ms. Camp saying, "I
- 17 think your decision to terminate is appropriate"?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Did Ms. Camp ever come down to the store
- 20 and do an independent investigation with respect to
- 21 your reasonings?
- 22 A. No. I don't believe she has ever been to
- 23 the store.
- Q. So she has never been to the store for any
- 25 reason; is that right?

- 1 Q. Briefly, what were Ms. Baas' performance
- 2 issues?
- 3 A. Just tasks that I asked her to do weren't
- 4 getting done. The closing procedures weren't 100
- 5 percent followed. There were a couple that I just
- 6 can't recall right now.
- 7 We had had an incident in a meeting -- oh,
- 8 yeah. Actually, the main reasons -- now I
- 9 remember -- is the way she was interacting with
- 10 customers, the way she was interacting with her
- 11 associates, including myself.
- 12 Q. Was it part of your job responsibility to
- 13 evaluate how Ms. Baas interacted with customers?
- 14 A. I would -- yeah. I was in charge of the
- 15 store, so, yes -- I would say yes.
- 16 Q. Was it part of your job responsibility to
- 17 counsel Ms. Baas with respect to a better way to
- 18 interact with customers?
- 19 A. Yes, definitely.
- 20 Q. At some point in time, did you say to
- 21 Ms. Baas, "If you don't correct this problem, I'm
- 22 going to have to terminate your employment"?
- 23 A. To be honest, I don't think I ever
- 24 actually said that.
- 25 Q. Did you give her warning notices --

00	1925 e 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 26 of 122
1	1 <u>A. Yes.</u>		
2	Q that she needed to improve?		
3	3 A. Uh-huh.		
4	4 Q. Yes?		
5	A. Yes.		
6	Q. You said that Ms. Baas was not follow	wing	
7	7 closing procedures that you required. Wha	at were	·
8	those procedures?		
9	A. Just like making sure that the end cap	os	
10	0 were clean and things like that, mostly the	<u> </u>	
11	1 cleanliness of the store. That was the prod	cedures	
12	2 <u>I was upset about.</u>		
13	3 Q. Would you give her direction in that	·	
14	4 <u>regard?</u>		
15	5 A. Yes. I actually would come in and clo	ose	
16	6 with her a couple times and show her, you	know, the	
17	7 way I would do things.		
18	Q. Did you view that as a training session	on	
19	9 with her?		
20	A. Uh-huh.		
21	1 Q. Yes?		
22	A. Yes. Another one in the jar.		
23	Q. What were Ms. Lofquist's performance	ee .	
24	1 deficiencies?		
25	A. A little of the same. I didn't really		

002186e 3:07-cv-03108-JSW 1 A. Yeah.	Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 27 of 122
2 Q. For disciplinary reaso	ns?		
3 A. Uh-huh.	•		
4 Q. Yes?			
5 A. Yes. Sorry.			
6 MS. McCLAIN: May I h	ave this marked as	;	
7 next in order, please?			
8 (A document was ma	rked as Exhibit 28		
9 for identification.)			
10 MS. McCLAIN:		•	
11 Q. Is this the effective n	otice for		
12 termination?			
13 A. Oh, no. This was just	another it looks		
14 like around the exact same	time, so maybe I h	nad	
15 like three or four ready for	her.		
16 Q. So this again is a wa	rning notice to	_	
17 Ms. Lofquist based upon h	er not following yo	our	
18 direction; is that right?	<u>:</u>		
19 A. Yeah.			
20 Q. You made the decisi	on to issue this		
21 warning notice?	· .		
22 <u>A. Uh-huh.</u>	·		•
23 Q. Yes?			
24 <u>A. Yes.</u>	·		
25 Q. How would you desc	cribe the reasons f	or	

- 1 Ms. Lofquist's termination? Your recommendation
- 2 that she be terminated.
- 3 A. Um, mostly the attendance, um, not showing
- 4 up enough and, um, not showing up on time.
- 5 Q. Did you ever ask her what her problem was?
- 6 A. Well, towards the end, she moved to
- 7 Lakeport, which was an hour, at least, I think,
- 8 drive, and so I'm sure that was a problem.
- 9 Q. You don't recall ever specifically asking
- 10 her, but you knew that that was a factor or you
- 11 thought that was a factor; is that right?
- 12 A. I never asked. I just said, you know --
- 13 you know, I just made sure she knew that it was
- 14 starting to get on my nerves.
- 15 Q. Did you advise both Ms. Bassignani and
- 16 Ms. Lofquist of their terminations? Did you tell
- 17 them?
- 18 A. What do you mean?
- 19 Q. Did you call them and say, "You're fired"?
- 20 A. Yes. Kassondra -- I believe she was off
- 21 that week or something. I can't remember exactly
- 22 what it was.
- 23 And all of a sudden, the -- I was supposed
- 24 to do it the next day when she was supposed to show
- 25 up, but she -- she didn't get her check. She was

002	Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW 58	Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 30 of 122
1	there.			
2	I found a lot of days ign	ored and either		
3	not even paid or given as sicl	k pay or given as		
4	vacation pay.			
5	Q. Is someone investigati	ng this?		
6	A. Um, I just found it yest	erday.		
7	Q. Who have you told abo	out it?		
8	A. Rick. And I left a whole	e file for him		
9	last night.			
10	Q. You've been spending	a fair amount of t	time	
11	investigating this payroll issu	ue?		
12	A. Yesterday took up a lo	ot of my day to do		
13	that. Um, but I'd say, you ki	now, hearing the		
14	complaints in the last week	I'vd had a coupl	e of	
15	them that I have found issue	es of, you know, p	<u>eople</u>	
16	writing on the on the if	Compass if the	_	
17	time clock is not working, yo	ou're supposed to	<u>write</u>	
18	down your stuff. Well, if the	at's not entered in	<u> </u>	
19	the Compass, they don't get	paid. So I found		
20	sheets that didn't correlate	with what was in		
21	Compass.			
22	Q. So you've been doing	an audit of the tin	ne	
23	worksheets versus the Comp	pass records; is the	at	
24	right?	·		
25	A. Yes. Uh-huh.			

- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Did any other Dollar Tree manager ever say
- 4 to you in any fashion, "Alter time records"?
- 5 A. Not to me. No.
- 6 Q. When you reviewed 1868 punches -- which
- 7 you're doing online; correct?
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. Yes?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you do so to make sure that people had
- 12 punched in and out correctly? Did you have any
- 13 other purpose other than to make sure that the
- 14 punches correctly reflected what they were doing?
- 15 A. No. That was exactly what I was doing.
- 16 Q. With the goal being that they were paid
- 17 for all time worked?
- 18 A. Correct. That the punches that they
- 19 entered were correct and that, you know -- that if
- 20 they left one off, it was -- it was either I'd have
- 21 to ask them directly or have it documented of when
- 22 they signed in and out. And even if I asked them,
- 23 <u>I would ask somebody else to double-check.</u>
- 24 Q. So you wanted to get the time accurate; is
- 25 that right?

- 13 A. Um, no, not necessarily.
- 14 Q. When you were reviewing time records, you
- 15 were often doing them the next day, right, or maybe
- 16 even a couple of days later?
- 17 A. Yeah. If I wasn't -- if there was some
- 18 left over from the next day and they weren't fixed,
- 19 yes, I would do them.
- 20 Q. So you're sitting at the computer and you
- 21 see that Vickie doesn't have a punch-out or back in
- 22 for lunch, for example?
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. That happened maybe not with Vickie, but
- 25 that happened; is that right?

Page 34 of 122

CERTIFICATION OF DEPOSITION OFFICER

I, WENDY L. VAN MEERBEKE, duly authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Section 2093(b) of the California Code of Civil Procedure, do hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth in the within entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place set forth; that the testimony of said witness was reported by me, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed by computer under my direction into booklet form; that the witness was given an opportunity to read and correct said deposition and to subscribe to the same.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

Dated the 1st day of November, 2007.

werly Un Meable

WENDY L! VAN MEERBEKE, CSR 3676

Cas	e 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64 Filed 03/13/2008 Page 37 of 122
,1	Preferred Reporters 201 E. Watmaugh Road
2	Sonoma, California 95476 707-938-9227
3	October 27, 2007
4	OCCODED 21, 2001
5	
6	TO: John D. Hansen c/o Jeremy R. Fietz, Esq.
7	Santa Rosa, CA 95401
8	Re: Cruz v. Dollar Tree Stores
9	Deposition taken on October 11, 2007 Reported by Wendy Van Meerbeke, CSR #3676
10	Reported by welldy vall fleetzener est "oor o
11	Dear Mr. Hansen,
12	The original transcript of your deposition taken in the above-entitled action has been
13	prepared and is available at this office for your reading, correcting and signing.
14	You may wish to discuss this matter with your
15	attorney to determine if counsel requires that the original transcript of your deposition be read,
16	corrected and signed by you before it is sealed.
17	Your rights regarding signature of this deposition are contained in the California Code of
18	Civil Procedure.
19	If you wish to make arrangements to review the original transcript of your deposition, please
20	contact this office during office hours, 9:00 to 5:00 Monday through Friday, to make an appointment
21	to review the original transcript.
22	Sincerely,
23	Wendy L. Van Meerbeke
24	Certified Shorthand Reporter
25	cc: All Counsel

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIGUEL A. CRUZ, and JOHN D.)
HANSEN, individually, and on)
behalf of all others similarly)
situated,)

Plaintiffs,)

Defendant.

DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.,

DEPOSITION OF JOHN D. HANSEN VOLUME II

DATE:

Thursday, November 1, 2007

TIME:

vs.

9:32 a.m.

LOCATION:

Kauff, McClain & McGuire One Post Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, California 94104

Case No: C07-02050 SC

PREFERRED REPORTERS
Certified Shorthand Reporters
201 E. Watmaugh Road
Sonoma, California 95476
707-938-9227

Reported By: Linda Vaccarezza, RPR, CSR #10201

09:

09:

09:

09:

22

23

24

Q When was that trial period?

25 to the middle of October?

A I would say the two weeks prior.

Q So from about the beginning of October

00477 ase 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64 Filed 03/13/2008 Page 41 of 122
1 Q Mr. Hansen, setting aside the 02:
2 information you have been researching with 02:
3 respect to Mr. Corina's pay that you have told us 02:
4 about, involving a period when Mr. Berger was the 02:
5 store manager, setting aside issues related to 02:
6 that, has any employee of 2262 come to you and 02:
7 complained about their pay? 02:
8 A Well, I mean, since I've been there or 02:
9 02:
10 Q Well, let's start with since you've been 02:
11 there. 02:
12 A You mean problems with me since I've 02:
13 been there or problems before? 02:
14 Q Problems okay. 02:
15 A There's been four employees. But that 02:
16 was all done before I got there. All the 02:
17 problems were happened before I got there, 02:
18 around the same time that Leo's were too. 02:
19 Q All the employees who have complained 02:
20 have complained about activity that occurred when 02:
21 Mr. Berger was the store manager; is that right? 02:
22 <u>A Correct. 02:</u>
23 Q Have any of them complained about 02:
24 activity that occurred when Mr. Cruz was the 02:
25 store manager? 02:

0056 1	A I'm not sure if my name would have been 04:	Page 44 of 122
2	referenced at the bottom, but there would have 04:	
3 .	just have been hours there. And on the written 04:	
4	one I mean on the piece of paper, I would have 04:	
5	scribbled out my name, the one that we post on 04:	·
6	the wall, and put my name in there. 04:	
7	So it there should be no punched 04:	
8	hours in or out that she was there, because I 04:	
9	didn't punch in on her, I punched in on my own. 04:	
10	But just a flat schedule would have been 04:	
11	made with her name there. 04:	
40	Q Ms. Hansen had access when she was 04:	
12	Q WIST HAIDEN HAA ACCESS WHICH SHE WAS	
	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? 04:	
13	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? 04:	
13 14 15	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? 04: A Yes. 04:	
13 14 15	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? 04: A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because 04:	
13 14 15 16 17	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? 04: A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because 04: she was an assistant manager, correct? 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? 04: A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because 04: she was an assistant manager, correct? 04: A Correct. I think I used her user name 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? 04: A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because 04: she was an assistant manager, correct? 04: A Correct. I think I used her user name 04: and password before I got before my name was 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? 04: A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because 04: she was an assistant manager, correct? 04: A Correct. I think I used her user name 04: and password before I got before my name was 04: transferred into 1868. That's how I was able to 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? 04: A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because 04: she was an assistant manager, correct? 04: A Correct. I think I used her user name 04: and password before I got before my name was 04: transferred into 1868. That's how I was able to 04: make changes, until everything got switched. 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? 04: A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because 04: she was an assistant manager, correct? 04: A Correct. I think I used her user name 04: and password before I got before my name was 04: transferred into 1868. That's how I was able to 04: make changes, until everything got switched. 04: Q For how long did that last? 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? 04: A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because 04: she was an assistant manager, correct? 04: A Correct. I think I used her user name 04: and password before I got before my name was 04: transferred into 1868. That's how I was able to 04: make changes, until everything got switched. 04: Q For how long did that last? 04: A I don't recall. Maybe a couple weeks. 04:	

0536 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64	4 Filed 03/13/2008	Page 47 of 122
1 factual knowledge to that effect.	03:	
2 Do you recall that testimony?	03:	
3 A Yes. 03:		
4 Q Is that what you're referring to, these	03:	
5 rumors and hearsay, when you say that the	re seem 03:	
6 to be a lot of people shaving time?	03:	
7 A Well, no, these were actual people	03:	
8 talking about it a lot. 03:		
9 Q People talked to you, when you first g	ot 03:	
10 to Dollar Tree, about shaving time, but you	never 03:	
11 <u>observed it</u> <u>03:</u>		
12 A I never physically observed it being	<u>03:</u>	
13 done. But there was numerous complaints	of 03:	
14 people noticing hours missing off their chec	cks. 03:	
15 Q Did you ever investigate any of those	03:	
16 complaints? 03:		
17 A At the time, I didn't have the capacity	<u>, 03:</u>	
18 to. 03 :		
19 Q Did you ever investigate any of those	03:	
20 <u>complaints? 03:</u>		
21 A No. 03:		
22 Q Do you agree that there can be mistak	kes 03:	
23 in payroll, honest mistakes?	03:	
24 A Honest mistakes, yeah. I believe it	03:	
25 could be. Uh-huh. 03:		

00547se 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64 1 violation of Dollar Tree policy for family	Filed 03/13/2008 03:	Page 48 of 122
2 members to work in the same store?	03:	
3 A Yes. I think at the time he applied, I	03:	·
4 didn't realize it was her son. And, you know, s	o 03:	
5 they weren't working together, you know, after	er 03:	
6 that. I think he only worked there a couple of	03:	
7 days. So, yeah. 03:		
8 Q During that time when those extra peop	le 03:	·
9 were there for a few days, did you ever work i	n 03:	
10 the middle of the night? 03	;	
11 A Oh, I was there the whole time. Yeah.	03:	
12 Q During the time when you were workin	g in 03:	
13 the middle of the night and Kelly Lofquist's so	n 03:	
14 was working in the middle of the night, was	03:	
15 Ms. Lofquist, to your observation, ever working	ng 03:	
16 in the middle of the night?	3:	
17 A I don't recall if she was there that	03:	
18 night. I know that there was some there was	as a 03:	
19 time that she worked in the middle of the nig	ht. 03:	
20 I don't remember if it was the same time that	I 03:	
21 had her son. I thought I thought she came	and 03:	
22 picked him up. That was about it.	03:	
23 Q How did it happen that Ms. Lofquist w	as <u>03:</u>	
24 working in the middle of the night on one	03:	

03:

25 occasion?

25

0056	67 Document 64	Filed 03/13/2006	Page 50 01 122
1	A I'm not sure if my name would have been	04:	
2	referenced at the bottom, but there would hav	e 04:	
3	just have been hours there. And on the writter	04:	
4	one I mean on the piece of paper, I would ha	ve 04:	
5	scribbled out my name, the one that we post o	n 04:	
6	the wall, and put my name in there.	04:	
7	So it there should be no punched	04:	
8	hours in or out that she was there, because I	04:	
9	didn't punch in on her, I punched in on my own	. 04:	
10	But just a flat schedule would have been	04:	
11	made with her name there.	4:	
12	Q Ms. Hansen had access when she was	04:	
	Trior transcribed and decess triterione tras		
	working at 1868 to the Compass system, corre		
13	working at 1868 to the Compass system, corre	ect? 04:	·
13 14 15	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct A Yes. 04:	ect? 04:	
13 14 15	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because she was an assistant manager, correct?	ect? 04:	
13 14 15 16 17	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct? A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because she was an assistant manager, correct?	04: 04: 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because the was an assistant manager, correct? A Correct. I think I used her user name	04: 04: 04: 04: was 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because she was an assistant manager, correct? A Correct. I think I used her user name and password before I got before my name	04: 04: 04: 04: was 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because she was an assistant manager, correct? A Correct. I think I used her user name and password before I got before my name transferred into 1868. That's how I was able to the correct of t	04: 04: 04: 04: was 04: to 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because she was an assistant manager, correct? A Correct. I think I used her user name and password before I got before my name transferred into 1868. That's how I was able make changes, until everything got switched. Q For how long did that last? 04	04: 04: 04: 04: was 04: to 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because she was an assistant manager, correct? A Correct. I think I used her user name and password before I got before my name transferred into 1868. That's how I was able make changes, until everything got switched. Q For how long did that last? 04	04: 04: 04: 04: was 04: to 04: 04:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	working at 1868 to the Compass system, correct A Yes. 04: Q She had the ability to edit time because she was an assistant manager, correct? A Correct. I think I used her user name and password before I got before my name transferred into 1868. That's how I was able make changes, until everything got switched. Q For how long did that last? 04 A I don't recall. Maybe a couple weeks. Q How did you know her password?	04: 04: 04: 04: was 04: to 04: 1: 04:	

00583
1 didn't make the change at the time. I don't 04:
2 know. 04:
3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Videotape 04:
4 Number 3, Volume 2. The time is approximately 04:
5 4:31 p.m. We are now off the record. 04:
6 (Recess taken from 4:31 p.m. to 4:35 p.m.) 04:
7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of 04:
8 Videotape Number 4 of Volume 2 of the deposition 04:
9 of John Hansen in the case of Miguel A. Cruz and 04:
10 John Hansen versus Dollar Tree Stores, 04:
11 Incorporated, et al. Today's date is November 1, 04:
12 2007. We are now on the record. 04:
13 BY MS. MCCLAIN: 04:
14 Q Looking at Ms. Kosinski's time, 04:
15 Mr. Hansen, for June 30, 2006? 04:
16 A Uh-huh. 04:
17 Q You once again used Rebecca's password 04:
18 and made a change in her start time, correct? 04:
19 A Made a change in her start time. Yes. 04:
20 Okay. From 04:
21 Q She punched in at 11:58, and you changed 04:
22 it to a punch that was three minute later; 04:
23 <u>correct?</u> 04:
24 <u>A Okay. 04:</u>
25 Q Agreed? 04:

00585	ase 3.07-cv-03106-J3vv	Document 64	Filed 03/13/2006	Page 53 01 122
	A Yes. Yeah. Three hours	s, 59 four	04:	
2 ho	urs and 59 minutes.	04:		
3	Q Her actual punches put	her a little over	04:	
4 fiv	e hours, correct?	04:		
5	A Uh-huh.	04:		
6	Q Yes?	04:		
7	A Yes.	04:		
8	Q So the change deprive	d her of minutes,	04:	
9 <u>bu</u>	it those minutes brought h	er to five hours,	04:	
10 <u>c</u>	orrect?	04:		
11	A Yes.	04:		
12	Q Did you do that so that	at you would have	e a 04:	
13 <u>re</u>	ecord that she had no lunc	h entitlement, no	meal 04:	
14 <u>p</u>	eriod entitlement?	04:		
15	A I don't recall.	04:		
16	Q Did you ever do that,	change an	04:	
17 <u>e</u>	mployee's time taking off i	ust a few minutes	s so 04:	
18 <u>tl</u>	nat it would look like they	only worked five	04:	
19 <u>h</u>	ours?	04:		
20	A I don't recall if I did th	at or not. I 0	<u>4:</u>	
21 <u></u>	you know, all I know is no	ow I don't do thing	gs 04:	
22 <u>li</u>	ke that. I don't remember	if I was thinking o	of 04:	
23 <u>d</u>	oing stuff like that then.	04:		
24	Q When did you stop do	ing things like	04:	
25 tl	nat?	04:		

Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64 00586	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 54 of 122
1 A I don't remember doing it. So I'm just	04:	
2 speculating on why I would have done that.	04:	
3 Q This is at a time when you were,	04:	
4 according to your testimony, extremely conscion	ous 04:	
5 of the fact that all punches needed to be	04:	
6 absolutely accurate, correct? 04	4:	
7 A Yes. 04:		
8 Q Because you had heard all these rumors	04:	
9 of time shaving? 04:		
10 A Right. 04:		
11 Q Do you agree that, barring any other	04:	
12 explanation, you shaved Ms. Kosinski's time o	n 04:	
13 6/30/2006? 04:		
14 A I may have had a reason to do it. I	04:	
15 don't know. I can't recall from that time.	04:	
16 Q So we can't, as I hear your testimony,	04:	
17 Mr. Hansen, conclude anything from the way	these 04:	
18 punch edits appear on the page, because the	ere may 04:	
19 be an underlying explanation that makes the	em 04:	
20 perfectly legitimate, correct?	<u>04:</u>	
21 <u>A Correct. 04:</u>		
22 Q And the explanation in this case may be	e 04:	
23 that you actually observed that Ms. Kosinski,	04:	
24 while she punched in at 11:58, didn't really go	et 04:	
25 to work until 12:01, correct? 0	14 :	

005	Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW	Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 55 of 122
1	MR. FIETZ: Objection. Miss	states testimony,	04:	
2	lacks foundation.	04:		
3	BY MS. MCCLAIN:	04:		
4	Q Is that right? An explar	nation that C	04:	
5	would be perfectly legitimate	for the	04:	
6	punches we just talked about	was that you	04:	
7	actually observed that Ms. Ko	osinski worked that	04:	
8	different time?	04:		
9	MR. FIETZ: Same objection	04:		
10	BY MS. MCCLAIN:	04:		
13	L Q Correct?	04:		
12	2 A Well, I would say legiti	mate reason,	04:	
13	3 yes. That would be if I had	d observed that she	e 04:	
14	1 I felt she was with her u	nderstanding, that	04:	
1!	she wasn't punching the clo	ck correctly.	04:	
10	Q So not only we can'	t conclude	04:	
1	anything from the punch de	tail on its face	04:	
13	because there may be these	underlying	04:	
1	explanations, correct?	04:		
2	A Correct.	04:		
2	1 Q And we really can't te	ell what those	04:	
2	2 underlying explanations are	now, correct, bec	ause 04:	
2	3 you didn't keep contempor	aneous notes of th	em? 04:	
2	4 A Correct.	04:		
2	E O Can we turn to Karon	Pichmond nlesse	04.	

C 00590		3:07-cv-03108-JSW	Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 56 of 122
		e one that actually went	into the system,	04:	
2 c	orre	ct?	04:		
3	Α	Sure.	04:		
4	Q	Is that right?	04:		
5	Α	Correct.	04:		
6	Q_	Ms. Richmond punched	out at 13:47 on	04:	
7 <u>tl</u>	hat s	same day, correct?	04:		
8	<u>A</u>	Yes.	04:		
9	<u>Q</u> _	And you, at the same ti	ime that you	04:	
10 9	<u>chan</u>	ged the start shift, mad	e a change in the	end 04:	
11 5	shift	, correct?	04:		
12	<u>A</u>	Correct.	04:		
13	Q	To take off 17 minutes	s, correct?	04:	
14	<u>A</u>	Yes.	04:		
15	Q	Why did you make tho	ose changes?	04:	
16	<u>A</u>	I don't know.	04:		
17	Q	Do you have any idea?	9 04	<u>1:</u>	
18	<u>A</u>	No.	04:		
19	Q	The changes reduced t	the shift to eight	04:	
20	<u>hour</u>	s, correct?	04:		
21	<u>A</u>	You would have to do	the math for me,	if 04:	
22 :	that'	s correct.	04:		
23	Q	Why don't you do the	math.	04:	
24	<u>A</u>	Isn't that more than ei	ght hours? Isn't	04:	
25	<u>that</u>	I mean, if you go fron	n two o'clock to	<u> 04:</u>	

005		e 3:07-cv-03108-JSW	Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 58 of 122
	you?		04:		
2	Α	I don't recall whether he	e did or not.	04:	
3	No.		04:		
4	Q	Can you tell me, under	oath, that	04:	
5	Mr. 0	Cossolotto ever approved	your changing ti	me 04:	
6	to de	eprive employees of work	time?	04:	
7	Α	No. I can't say that he e	ver approved.	04:	
8	Q	Can you tell me that he	even knew you	04:	
9	ever	did that?	04:		
10) A	l couldn't tell you whet	her he did or	04:	
11	l not.		04:		
12	2 Q	And you certainly can't	tell me that he	04:	
13	3 evei	r suggested that you do tl	hat, correct?	04:	
14	l A	I could not, no.	04:		
15	5 <u>Q</u>	Looking at Ms. Rose, p	lease?	04:	
16	5 <u>A</u>	Uh-huh.	04:		
17	7 <u>Q</u>	For 6/29. We see that	Ms. Rose punch	ed 04:	
18	3 <u>in a</u>	t 13:58, correct?	04:		
19) <u>A</u>	Uh-huh.	04:		
20	Q	Yes?	04:		
2:	1 <u>A</u>	Yes.	04:		
22	2 Q	And you changed the	ounch in to 14:01	. <u>, 04:</u>	
23	3 <u>corr</u>	rect?	04:		
24	1 <u>A</u>	Correct.	04:		
21	- ^	Thoroby reducing thre	o minutos corro	c+2 0/1·	•

0060		Filed 03/13/2008	Page 61 of 122
	that point. So I don't remember ever having	05:	
2	2 Lisa's. 05:		
3	Q So on 7/7, if we look at the punches, 05	5:	
4	the first thing that happened is that 12 minutes	05:	
5	5 was added to lunch, correct? 05:		
6	5 A Yes. 05:		
7	Q And the second thing that happened was	<u>05:</u>	
8	that six minutes was taken off the end of the	05:	
9	shift, correct? 05:		
10	0 MR. FIETZ: I apologize, Ms. McClain. I've	<u>05:</u>	
11	1 gotten off which one we are looking at. Can you	05:	
12	2 <u>tell me?</u> 05:		
13	3 MS. MCLAIN: Yes. We are on 4564, 7/7/2006.	05:	
14	4 Ms. Bassignani's time. 05:		
15	5 THE WITNESS: I see that. 05:		
16	6 MR. FIETZ: Thank you. 05:		
17	7 THE WITNESS: Yes. Six minutes. 05	<u>:</u>	
18	8 BY MS. MCCLAIN: 05:		
19	.9 Q So that's a total of 18 minutes taken (<u>05:</u>	
20	20 off time, correct? 05:		
21	21 A Yes. <u>05:</u>		
22	22 Q And does that reduce the day to 8.5 with	05:	
23	23 <u>.5 for lunch? 05:</u>		
24	24 A Yeah. It sounds right. 05:		
25	25 Q Do you have any factual understanding to	<u> 05:</u>	

05:

A Okay. Right.

25

		3:07-cv-03108-JSW	Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 63 of 122
0061 1		Uh-huh.	05:	•	
2	Q	Yes?	05:		
3	Α	Yes.	05:		
4	Q	This is a change you ma	de on July 4 at	05:	
5	12:41	, correct?	05:		
6	Α	Correct.	05:		
7	Q	The change you made v	vas to lengthen the	05:	
8	start	lunch, correct? The pun	ch in for start	05:	
9	lunch	was 12:16, and you cha	nged it to a start	05:	
10	luncl	n of 12:00, correct?	05:		
11	Α	Okay. Yes.	05:		·
12	Q	Adding 16 minutes to	the lunch time and	05:	
13	henc	e non-paid time, correct	t? 0!	5:	
14	Α	Okay.	05:		
15	Q	Yes?	05:		
16	Α	Yes.	05:		
17	Q	Can you tell me why y	ou did that?	05:	
18	<u>A</u>	No.	05:		
19	Q	Can you tell me wheth	ner it was a	05:	
20	<u>legit</u>	imate change or an illeg	itimate change?	<u>05:</u>	
21	<u>A</u>	No, I could not.	05:		
22	Q	Looking at this, you sir	nply can't tell	05:	
23	me;	is that right?	05:		
24	Α	Correct.	05:		
25	0	Was it the case that fr	om time to time	05:	

		3:07-cv-03108-JSVV		Filed 03/13/2008	Page 65 of 122
1	11:03	3, and you changed the	clock out to 11:33	3, 06:	
2	corre	ect?	06:	·	
3	Α	Correct.	06:		
4	Q	Do you know why you	ı did that?	06:	
5	Α	No.	06:		
6	Q	Was it because she wa	as in error and	06:	
7	really	worked until 23:33?	06	:	
8	Α	I can only speculate. I	don't know.	06:	
9	Q	Over and over again y	ou've said to me,	1 06:	
10	<u>cann</u>	ot look at these Audit	Trail Reports and	tell 06:	
11	you y	whether the change w	as legitimate or	06:	
12	illegi	itimate and I really can	't recall all of the	06:	
13	unde	erlying circumstances t	o fill that informa	tion 06:	
14	in, co	orrect?	<u> 06:</u>		
15	<u>A</u>	That's correct.	06:		
16	Q	Is that true of every of	change, not just	06:	
17	the c	ones we have looked a	t?	<u>06:</u>	
18	<u>A</u>	I would have to say y	es. I can't say	06:	
19	<u>100 p</u>	percent what would be	e really I know fo	or a 06:	
20	fact t	that I did some that we	ere wrong, and I d	id 06:	
21	some	e that were right. But I	can't say which o	nes 06:	
.22	are w	which without going ba	ck in time and loo	king 06:	
23	at it a	and being there.	06:		
24	MS	6. MCCLAIN: May I have	e this marked as n	ext 06:	
25	in ord	der, please.	06:		

Case 3 00648	3:07-cv-03108-JSW	Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 66 of 122		
1 I always needed to cover up on I felt like 06:						
2 these were mistakes that I made in not being a 06:						
3 good	3 good manager, I guess, of a store. 06:					
4 Q	Every time you did tha	it, did you say to	06:	. *		
5 yours	self, boy, I hope I don't	get caught, I'm	06:			
6 violat	ing company policy?	06:				
7 A	I don't remember.	06:				
8 Q	Did you have that tho	ught on occasion,	06:			
9 "I ho _l	pe I don't get caught"?	06:				
10 A	No. I think afterward	s I remember at	06:	4.		
11 one	time thinking having	a really bad feeling	06:			
12 of w	hat I was doing, but I do	on't remember.	06:			
•		•				
13 <u>Q</u>	Because you knew ev	very time you made	e a 06:			
_	Because you knew ev					
14 <u>char</u>		n an employee's wo	ork or 06:			
14 <u>char</u> 15 <u>misr</u>	nge that interfered with	n an employee's wo	ork or 06:			
14 <u>char</u> 15 <u>misr</u> 16 <u>were</u>	nge that interfered with	n an employee's wo	ork or 06: ou 06:			
14 <u>char</u> 15 <u>misr</u> 16 <u>were</u>	nge that interfered with epresented when they e violating company po	took lunch, that you	ork or 06: ou 06:			
14 <u>char</u> 15 <u>misr</u> 16 <u>were</u> 17 <u>A</u>	nge that interfered with epresented when they e violating company po	took lunch, that you	ork or 06: ou 06: 06:			
14 char 15 misr 16 were 17 A 18 Q 19 A	nge that interfered with epresented when they e violating company po Correct. Would you turn to Lis	took lunch, that your correct? 06: a Murphy, please?	ork or 06: ou 06: 06:			
14 char 15 misr 16 were 17 A 18 Q 19 A 20 Q	nge that interfered with epresented when they e violating company po Correct. Would you turn to List Okay.	took lunch, that yes licy, correct? 06: a Murphy, please? 06: y has filled out the	ork or 06: ou 06: 06:			
14 char 15 misr 16 were 17 A 18 Q 19 A 20 Q	epresented when they eviolating company po Correct. Would you turn to Lis Okay. On 9/28. Ms. Murph	took lunch, that yes licy, correct? 06: a Murphy, please? 06: y has filled out the	06: 06:			
14 char 15 misr 16 were 17 A 18 Q 19 A 20 Q 21 Time	epresented when they eviolating company po Correct. Would you turn to Lis Okay. On 9/28. Ms. Murph e Clock Worksheet for 9 Uh-huh.	took lunch, that yes licy, correct? 06: a Murphy, please? 06: y has filled out the 1/28, correct?	06: 06: 06:			
14 char 15 misr 16 were 17 A 18 Q 19 A 20 Q 21 Time 22 A 23 Q	epresented when they eviolating company po Correct. Would you turn to Lis Okay. On 9/28. Ms. Murph e Clock Worksheet for 9 Uh-huh.	took lunch, that yesticy, correct? 06: a Murphy, please? 06: y has filled out the /28, correct? 06: ime Clock Workshe	06: 06: 06:			

Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 67 of 122
00651 1 Q So you didn't write down or edit, enter	06:	
2 with your edits, the handwritten notations of	06:	
3 Ms. Murphy. Why did you do that?	06:	
4 A l actually don't know. Maybe l wasn't	06:	
5 paying close enough attention. I'm not sure.	06:	
6 Q Did you do that to deprive Ms. Murphy of	06:	
7 any pay for time worked? 06:		
8 A No. Because it looks as though it 0	6:	
9 was I mean, it was a half an hour written dow	n 06:	
10 here, and it's a half an hour here. So it	06:	
11 wouldn't have it wouldn't have made any	06:	
12 difference. And the clock out time is the same	06:	
13 time, too. 06:		
14 Q And so there are times when your edits	06:	
15 are just mistakes, correct? 06:		
16 A Yes, I would say. 06:		
17 Q And we can't tell, by looking at any	06:	
18 particular edit, whether it was a mistake,	06:	
19 whether it was deliberate, whether it deprive	d <u>06:</u>	
20 someone's time, or whether it added time,	06:	
21 <u>correct?</u> 06:	•	
22 MR. FIETZ: Objection. Foundation.	06:	
23 BY MS. MCCLAIN: 06:		
24 Q Correct? 06:		
25 A I'm not sure what you want from that	06:	

22

23

25

A Yeah. Yes, got it.

24 11:25, correct?

A Yes.

Q Ms. Kosinski punched out for lunch at

Page 68 of 122

06:

06:

06:

06:

Document 64

Filed 03/13/2008

Page 70 of 122

Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW

25 minutes to that shift time, correct?

) 0065		3:07-cv-03108-JSW	Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 74 of 122
1		. MCCLAIN: Ms. Reporte	r, please.	06:	
2	Q	See that, on October 11	, 2006 0	6:	
3	Ms. B	assignani had a punch o	ut at 16:16,	06:	
4	corre	ct?	06:		
5	Α	Uh-huh.	06:		
6	Q	So that's 4:16 in the after	ernoon, 00	6:	
7	corre	ct?	06:		
8	Α	Yeah.	06:		
9	Q	And you initially reduce	d that punch out	06:	
10	by ni	ne minutes, correct?	06:		
11	Α	Yes.	06:		
12	Q	That reduction brough	t Ms. Bassignani to	06:	
13	eight	t hours of work, correct?	06	: :	
14	Α	Yes.	06:		
15	<u>Q</u>	You did that on Octob	er 11th, correct?	06:	
16	<u>A</u>	Yeah.	06:		
17	Q	That change, which re	duced the nine	06:	
18	<u>min</u> u	utes of overtime. On Oc	tober 14, which is	<u>, 06:</u>	
19	<u>wha</u>	t, three days later, you r	nade another cha	nge, 06:	
20	corre	ect?	<u>06:</u>		
21	<u>A</u>	Yes.	06:		
22	Q	Restoring the initial po	unch, thereby	06:	
23	givir	ng Ms. Bassignani nine n	ninutes of overtim	e, <u>06:</u>	
24	corr	ect?	06:		
25	Λ	Correct	06:		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF SONOMA)

I, LINDA VACCAREZZA, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, duly authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Section 2025 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, do hereby certify that

JOHN D. HANSEN,

The witness in the foregoing examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said testimony of said witness was reported by a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting and is a true and correct transcription of said proceedings.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing examination and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

Dated the 14th day of November, 2007.

LINDA VACCAREZZA, RPR, CSR #10201

1 Preferred Reporters 201 E. Watmaugh Road 2 Sonoma, California 95476 3 November 15, 2007 4 5 6 To: John D. Hansen EDGAR LAW FIRM 7 ATTENTION:: JEREMY R. FIETZ, ESQUIRE 408 College Avenue 8 Santa Rosa, CA 95401 9 Re: Cruz, Hansen v. Dollar Tree Store Deposition taken on November 1, 2007 10 Reported by Linda Vaccarezza Dear Mr. Hansen, 11 12 The original transcript of your deposition taken in the above-entitled action has been prepared and is available at this office for your reading; correcting and 13 signing. 14 You may wish to discuss this matter with your 15 attorney to determine if counsel requires that the original transcript of your deposition be read, corrected 16 and signed by you before it is sealed. 17 Your rights regarding signature of this deposition are contained in the California Code of Civil Procedure. 18 If you wish to make arrangements to review the 19 original transcript of your deposition, please contact this office during office hours, 9:00 to 5:00 Monday 20 through Friday, to make an appointment to review the original transcript. 21 Sincerely, 22 23 v Morrison Office Manager 24 cc: All Counsel 25

EXHIBIT E

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

---000---

MIGUEL A. CRUZ, and JOHN D. HANSEN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs, Case No. C07-02050 SC

vs:

DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF MIGUEL A. CRUZ

DATE:

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2007

TIME:

9:32 A.M.

LOCATION:

Kauff, McClain & McGuire One Post Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California

PREFERRED REPORTERS
Certified Shorthand Reporters
201 E. Watmaugh Road
Sonoma, California 95476
707-938-9227

REPORTED BY: Wendy L. Van Meerbeke, CSR #3676

- 1 assistant managers; correct?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Who else worked overtime?
- 4 A. Sometimes stockers.
- 5 Q. Did the stockers ever work more than eight
- 6 hours?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did some of your employees get overtime?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. None of your employees in the Healdsburg
- 11 store ever got overtime; is that right?
- 12 A. No -- yes.
- 13 Q. Let's be very clear about this. It's your
- 14 testimony that while you were store manager at
- 15 Healdsburg, no one got a single penny of overtime?
- 16 A. I never checked their check, so I don't
- 17 **know.**
- 18 Q. But you think you went in and changed
- 19 every possible overtime hour; is that right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Must have taken a lot of time for you to
- 22 do that.
- 23 MR. FIETZ: That's not a question.
- 24 Miguel, you don't have to answer.

- 1 Q. Yes?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Ms. Cape got overtime for 9-18; correct?
- 4 A. I don't remember.
- 5 Q. The hours would suggest she got overtime;
- 6 wouldn't they?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you made no changes which got rid of
- 9 the overtime; correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So your testimony is that you always
- 12 changed everyone's punches to deprive them of
- 13 overtime was not truthful; was it?
- 14 A. I made a mistake.
- 15 MR. FIETZ: Argumentative.
- 16 MS. McCLAIN:
- 17 Q. You made a mistake?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. Just one? This is the only time where
- 20 we're going to find that somebody got overtime at
- 21 store 2262; is that correct?
- 22 MR. FIETZ: Objection. Argumentative,
- 23 lacks foundation.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 25

- 1 Q. We see on October 1, 2006 that Ms. Rose
- 2 started work at 7:34 in the morning; correct?
- 3 **A. Yes.**
- 4 Q. And she ended work at 2200, which is
- 5 o'clock; correct?
- 6 **A. Yes.**
- 7 Q. Ms. Rose got overtime for that day; didn't
- 8 <u>she?</u>
- 9 **A. Yes.**
- 10 Q. And you supplied punches because Ms. Rose
- 11 didn't punch end breaks, start breaks and lunches,
- 12 correct, but you didn't change her hours? She got
- 13 the overtime; didn't she?
- 14 A. 10-22 -- what was the date?
- 15 Q. 10-1.
- 16 A. 10-1.
- 17 Q. The shift started at 7:34 a.m. and ended
- 18 at 11:00 o'clock p.m.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. How many times do you think we're going to
- 21 find in the punch audit reports people who worked
- 22 over eight hours and there were no changes?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- 24 Q. Why did you allow overtime on these days
- 25 if -- the two days we've just looked at if

- 1 Is it correct that assistant managers were
- 2 not to assign overtime to employees without
- 3 approval from you?
- 4 A. Nobody should be allowed, not even me.
- 5 Q. No one should be allowed to sign overtime;
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Was it a policy of Dollar Tree that under
- 9 no circumstances ever, ever, ever could you work
- 10 overtime -- could anyone work overtime?
- 11 MR. FIETZ: Objection. Vague.
- 12 MS. McCLAIN:
- 13 Q. Did you understand that Dollar Tree had
- 14 any policy one way or the other about hourly
- 15 employees working overtime?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. You didn't understand that there was a
- 18 policy either prohibiting it or allowing it?
- 19 A. As far as I know, you're not supposed to
- 20 give overtime at Dollar Tree.
- 21 Q. How do you know that?
- 22 A. From Mr. Rick.
- MS. McCLAIN: May I have this marked as
- 24 next in order, please?

- 1 A. Mr. Rick.
- 2 Q. How did Mr. Tellstrom tell Mr. Corina
- 3 that?
- 4 A. He will call me to tell him.
- 5 Q. Is it correct that every time we see a
- 6 change between the schedule and the actual worked,
- 7 that Mr. Tellstrom made that decision?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Every single time it appears in these
- 10 schedules?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And Mr. Tellstrom called you up and told
- 13 you that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did Mr. Corina get overtime for October
- 16 11th?
- 17 A. I don't remember.
- MS. McCLAIN: May I have this marked as
- 19 next in order, please?
- 20 (A document was marked as Exhibit 59
- 21 for identification.)
- 22 MS. McCLAIN:
- 23 Q. If you look on the second page of this
- 24 document, Mr. Cruz, do you see a time period on
- 25 October 11th that corresponds with Mr. Corina's

- 1 schedule -- his actual work schedule?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. So the actual time on Exhibit 36 says that
- 4 he started his shift at 10:49; correct?
- 5 **A. Yes.**
- 6 Q. And the actual time on that same punch
- 7 audit report says he ended at 8:05; correct? 2005
- 8 <u>is 8:05?</u>
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So the numbers correspond precisely to
- 11 those listed on the actual work time on Exhibit 36;
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. He had apparently no breaks that day, so
- 15 he was paid for that whole time frame; correct?
- 16 <u>A. Yes.</u>
- 17 Q. So he got overtime that day; correct?
- 18 A. I would say I don't know.
- 19 Q. This reads as if he's entitled to
- 20 <u>overtime; correct?</u>
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you haven't changed any start shift or
- 23 end shifts which would deprive him of overtime;
- 24 <u>correct?</u>
- 25 **A. No.**

- 1 Q. So this is another example where you
- 2 didn't make a change that reduced an employee's
- 3 time so that they wouldn't get overtime; correct?
- 4 There's no change in these punches; is there?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Does this cause you to reconsider your
- 7 testimony, Mr. Cruz, that you changed everyone's
- 8 time so that no one ever got overtime?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. You still think that testimony is
- 11 accurate?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Even in the face of now three examples
- 14 where people got overtime?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. How do you explain that?
- 17 A. A mistake.
- 18 Q. A mistake on your part?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Letting that overtime slip by?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Did Mr. Tellstrom ever call you and say,
- 23 "I see that so-and-so got overtime. You didn't
- 24 change the punch audit report as you should have"?
- 25 A. Oh, yeah, for sure.

CERTIFICATION OF DEPOSITION OFFICER

I, WENDY L. VAN MEERBEKE, duly authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Section 2093(b) of the California Code of Civil Procedure, do hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth in the within entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place set forth; that the testimony of said witness was reported by me, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed by computer under my direction into booklet form; that the witness was given an opportunity to read and correct said deposition and to subscribe to the same.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

Dated the 1st day of November, 2007.

WENDY L. VAN MEERBEKE, CSR 3676

Ser Van Meerskr

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIGUEL A. CRUZ, and JOHN D.)
HANSEN, individually, and on)
behalf of all others similarly)
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Case No: C07-02050 SC

DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF MIGUEL CRUZ VOLUME II

DATE:

Friday, November 2, 2007

TIME:

9:29 a.m.

LOCATION:

Kauff, McClain & McGuire
One Post Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, California 94104

PREFERRED REPORTERS
Certified Shorthand Reporters
201 E. Watmaugh Road
Sonoma, California 95476
707-938-9227

Reported By: Linda Vaccarezza, RPR, CSR #10201

004	•	e 3:07-cv-03108-JSW	Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 89 of 122
		It says change by it sa	ys right here, C	01:	
2	Lori.		01:		
3	Q	My question is whether	Lori made the	01:	
4	chan	ge using somebody else's	s name? You can't	01:	
5	tell t	hat from here, can you?	01:		
6	A	No.	01:		
7	Q	You can't tell whether I	ori was using	01:	
8	hero	correct number or wheth	er somebody else v	was 01:	
9	using	g Lori's number. All you o	an tell is that	01:	
10	0 Lori	is designated as the pers	on making the	01:	
1	1 cha	nge, correct?	01:		
1	2 A	Yes.	01:		
1	3 Q	While you were worki	ng at Dollar Tree,	01:	
1	4 <u>did</u>	you have any factual inf	ormation that	01:	
1	5 <u>sug</u>	gested to you that the as	sistant managers	or <u>01:</u>	
1	6 <u>any</u>	one else at Dollar Tree u	sed each other's	01:	
1	7 <u>nun</u>	nbers when editing chan	ges, when making	01:	
1	8 <u>cha</u>	nges?	01:		
1	.9 <u>A</u>	Yes.	01:		
2	:0 <u>C</u>	What information did	you have on this	01:	
2	1 <u>sub</u>	ject?	01:		
2	22 <u>A</u>	When I first started, it	l remember	01:	
2	23 <u>righ</u>	nt, Mr. Rick gave me Lori	's codes.	01:	
2	24 C	ls this before you had	your own code?	01:	
2	25 A	l don't remember.	01:		

- 2 Q The employee had punched a start break
- 3 at 12:51, correct?
- 4 A 12:51? I don't see 12:51. What day is
- 5 it?
- 6 Q It's the very first line on page 60,
- 7 **9/23/2006.**
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q The employee's punch is at 12:51,
- 10 correct?
- 11 <u>A Yes.</u>
- 12 Q You changed that punch to 13:50,
- 13 correct?
- 14 <u>A Yes.</u>
- 15 Q Why did you do that?
- 16 A I don't remember why.
- 17 Q It has no impact upon pay, correct, that
- 18 change?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Do you agree with that statement; that
- 21 change has no impact on pay, correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Why did you do it?
- 24 A I don't know.
- 25 Q Do you have any recollection? Did the

		3:07-cv-03108-JSW	Document 64	Filed 03/13/2008	Page 91 of 122
0048 1		No.	03:		
2	Q	Do you see anything qu	uestionable on 103	L? 03:	
3	Α	No.	03:		
4	Q	Do you see anything qu	uestionable on 102	2? 03:	
5	Α	No.	03:		
6	Q	Do you see anything qu	estionable on 103	3? 03:	
7	Α	No.	03:		
8	Q	Do you see anything qu	uestionable on 104	1? 03:	
9	Α	No.	03:		
10	Q	You see that you made	e a change on 104	on 03:	
11	10/2	24, correct, and this is a c	change for	03:	
12	Ms.	Rodriguez Lopez, correct	:? (03:	·
13	Α	Yes.	03:		
14	Q	Ms. Rodriguez Lopez p	unched out at 10:	37 03:	
15	in th	e morning, correct?	03:		
16	Α	Yes.	03:		
17	Q	And you increased her	work time by	03:	
18	chan	iging the punch to an ho	ur later, right,	03:	
19	11:3	7?	03:		
20	Α	Yes. Yes.	03:		
21	Q	So that change gave he	er an hour more o	f 03:	
22	pay,	correct?	03:		
23	Α	Yes.	03:		
24	Q	Can you tell me, lookii	ng at this	<u>03:</u>	
25	<u>docu</u>	ıment today, why you m	<u>ade that change?</u>	03:	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SONOMA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I, LINDA VACCAREZZA, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, duly authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Section 2025 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, do hereby certify that

MIGUEL CRUZ,

The witness in the foregoing examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said testimony of said witness was reported by a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my. direction into typewriting and is a true and correct transcription of said proceedings.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing examination and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

Dated the 15th day of November, 2007.

LINDA VACCAREZZA, // APA, CSR #10201

Preferred Reporters 201 E. Watmaugh Road Sonoma, California 95476

November 15, 2007

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

5 | 6 | To:

To: Miguel Cruz

EDGAR LAW FIRM

ATTENTION: Jeremy R. Fietz, Esquire

408 College Avenue Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Re: Cruz, Hansen v. Dollar Tree
Deposition taken on November 2, 2007
Reported by Linda Vaccarezza, CSR #10201

Dear Mr. Cruz,

The original transcript of your deposition taken in the above-entitled action has been prepared and is available at this office for your reading, correcting and signing.

You may wish to discuss this matter with your attorney to determine if counsel requires that the original transcript of your deposition be read, corrected and signed by you before it is sealed.

Your rights regarding signature of this deposition are contained in the California Code of Civil Procedure.

If you wish to make arrangements to review the original transcript of your deposition, please contact this office during office hours, 9:00 to 5:00 Monday through Friday, to make an appointment to review the original transcript.

22

23

24

25

cc: All Counsel

Linda Vaccareza

Certified Sharthand Reporter

EXHIBIT F

Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64 Filed 03/13/2008 Page 96 of 122

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

---000---

KASSONDRA BAAS AND KELLY LOFQUIST, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Case No. C0703108 JSW

DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.,
Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF KELLY LOFQUIST
October 15, 2007

REPORTED BY:

SANDRA L. CARRANZA, CRR, RPR, CSR 7062

PREFERRED REPORTERS

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

201 E. Watmaugh Road

Sonoma, California 95476

Phone (707) 938-9227

1	Q. Is it correct then that at least one of	10:05:29
2	your divorces was contested? There was testimony	10:05:31
3	taken about it?	10:05:35
4	A. No. I don't believe so. I don't remember.	10:05:36
5	Q. If they were not contested, that would mean	10:05:42
6	that you were testifying	10:05:45
7	A. One of my marriages was annulled, so there	10:05:46
8	was no there was no court proceeding in that.	10:05:47
9	Q. Was that Mr. Kelley?	10:05:53
10	A. Mr. Albertony.	10:05:55
11	Q. If your divorces were not contested, is it	10:06:05
12	correct then that your testifying in court has been	10:06:07
13	restricted to criminal proceedings?	10:06:11
14	A. Yes.	10:06:13
15	Q. As far as you know, those criminal	10:06:14
16	proceedings have all been in California; is that	10:06:15
17	right?	10:06:17
18	A. They have all been in California.	10:06:18
19	Q. Have they all been in Sonoma County?	10:06:19
20	A. Yes.	10:06:22
21	Q. You told me that you and Ms. Baas discussed	10:06:28
22	unfair practices. And when I asked you what you	10:06:32
23	talked about, you said Tina being deprived of an	10:06:35
24	hour of work because Mr. Hansen wished to meet his	10:06:41
25	payroll hours, correct?	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

1	A. Right.	10:06:49
2	Q. Do you know that as a fact, or do you just	10:06:50
3	know that Mr. Hansen told you that?	10:06:52
4	A. I was sitting right there.	10:06:54
5	Q. You were sitting with him?	10:06:55
6	A. When he did it.	10:06:57
7	Q. Did you watch him do it?	10:06:59
8	A. Yes.	10:07:00
9	Q. So you saw him actually go into the Compass	10:07:02
10	report and make a change in Tina's hours; is that	- 10:07:06
11	right?	10:07:10
12	A. Yes.	10:07:11
13	Q. Did you say to him that's not right,	10:07:12
14	Mr. Hansen?	10:07:14
15	A. No.	10:07:15
16	Q. Why not?	10:07:15
17	A. I didn't want to lose my job.	10:07:16
18	Q. You knew Mr. Hansen had the authority to	10:07:18
19	fire you?	10:07:21
20	A. Yes.	10:07:22
21	Q. Did you know that what he was doing was	10:07:34
22	inconsistent with company policy, with Dollar Tree	10:07:36
23	policy?	10:07:40
24	7) Tolon to 1	10:07:41
25	Q. How did Mr. Hansen accomplish that task of	

1	Q. R	ight.	10:26:37
2		s there more than one number for human	10:26:38
3	resources?		10:26:41
4	, A. I	don't remember.	10:26:42
5	Q. De	o you recall there being a care line	10:26:43
6	,	well, an anonymous line where you could	10:26:44
7	make repor		10:26:46
8		ip line, yeah.	10:26:50
9	<u> </u>	ip line?	10:26:51
10	-	(Reporter clarification.)	13:14:02
11	M:	S. McCLAIN: Tip line.	
12	•	o you know what Dollar Tree called that	10:26:52
13	tip line?	o you know what bollar free carred that	10.06.54
	<u>-</u>		10:26:54
14	A. I	don't remember.	10:26:55
15	Q. D:	id you ever call human resources to	10:26:58
16.	complain ab	oout any change in your pay?	10:27:01
17	A. No	o.	10:27:06
18	Q. Wh	ny not?	10:27:06
19	A. I	didn't want to complain and lose my job.	10:27:08
20	Q. Yo	ou thought Mr. Hansen would have fired you	10:27:10
21	for that?		10:27:12
22	A. I	thought, yeah, I might rock the boat and	10:27:13
23	get fired,	yeah.	10:27:15
24	Q. M ₂	y question is more specific than that.	10:27:16
25	Did you thi	ink Mr. Hansen would fire you for that,	
		,	

	1	clocking	in and out for a 30-minute meal break even	14:12:38
	2	when you	didn't take them?	14:12:42
	3	Α.	Yes.	14:12:43
	4	Q.	What did he say to that?	14:12:44
	5	Α.	He didn't really have too much to say.	14:12:46
	6	Q.	You don't recall	14:12:49
	7.	Α.	I don't recall what what our	14:12:51
	8	conversat	tion was, but I know we talked about it.	14:12:53
	9	Q.	Did you ever make an inquiry of anyone	14:13:09
	10	of human	resources, of the tip line, of a manager	14:13:11
	11 4	as to wha	at Dollar Tree's policies were with respect	14:13:15
	12_	to paymer	nt for time worked?	14:13:20
	13	Α.	No.	14:13:23
	14	Q.	Did you ever inquire of anyone again,	14:13:23
	15	human res	sources, a manager, the tip line as to	14:13:26
	16	whether I	Oollar Tree expected you to accurately	14:13:33
	17	record yo	our hours worked?	14:13:38
	18	Α.	No.	14:13:40
	19	Q.	Did you have a general understanding that	14:13:42
	20	it was yo	our obligation to accurately record your	14:13:43
	21	hours wor	ked?	14:13:46
	22	A.	Yes.	14:13:47
	23	Q.	Where did you get that understanding from?	14:13:48
	24	Α.	Prior previous employment.	14:13:49
	25	Q.	Did you always punch in when you arrived at	
1			•	

1	Q. Can you tell me whether it was a day later	14:58:51
2	or two days later or three days later?	14:58:53
3	A. It was one to two days later.	14:58:56
4	Q. On this occasion you did not punch in?	14:58:58
5	A. No.	14:59:00
6	Q. Is that right?	14:59:00
7	A. Correct.	14:59:01
8	Q. Did you tell Mr. Hansen that you hadn't	14:59:03
9	punched in?	14:59:06
10	A. Yes.	14:59:07
11	Q. What did he say?	14:59:08
12	A. Good.	14:59:09
13	Q. Were there any witnesses to either of those	
14	conversations with Mr. Hansen? To your knowledge,	14:59:16
15	did anyone overhear?	14:59:20
16	A. My son. He was one of the temporary hired	
17	Q. Is that Daniel?	14:59:37
18	A. Yes.	14:59:38
19	Q. We will find that there was a	14:59:41
20	Daniel Patrick on the payroll for some time in the	14:59:42
21	Christmas season of 2006; is that right?	14:59:48
22	A. Correct.	
		14:59:50
23	Q. For a couple of days?	14:59:50
24	A. Yes.	14:59:52
25	Q. And the first such day that he was on the	-

1	payroll is the occasion of the first such instance;	14:59:54
2	is that right?	14:59:56
3	A. Correct.	14:59:57
4	Q. It was your observation that Daniel heard	15:00:01
5	Mr. Hansen both express regret that you had punched	15:00:05
6	in on the first occasion and express pleasure that	15:00:08
7	you had not punched in on the second occasion; is	15:00:12
8	that right?	15:00:14
9.	A. I don't know if he overheard it. I know he	15:00:15
10	was there.	15:00:18
11	Q. I asked you whether you thought there were	15:00:20
12	any witnesses to the conversation. Is your answer,	15:00:22
13	maybe Daniel, you're not sure?	15:00:24
14.	A. No, he was there.	15:00:26
15	Q. The question is whether he heard the	15:00:28
16	conversation.	15:00:29
17	A. I don't know.	15:00:30
18	Q. My question really is, do you know whether	15:00:32
19	anyone was close enough to hear the conversation,	15:00:35
20	and your answer is you're not sure?	15:00:37
21	A. I don't know.	15:00:40
22	Q. But your son was there somewhere?	15:00:42
23	A. He was there right next to me.	15:00:44
24	Q. Did you talk to your son about this on any	15:00:46
25	other occasion?	

1	once; is that right?	15:09:22
.2	A. Yes.	15:09:23
3	Q. Do you have any information whatsoever tha	t 15:09:31
4	this situation, having people work when they weren'	t15:09:34
5	punched in or punched out, entering meal periods,	15:09:39
6	deducting overtime, do you have any factual	15:09:42
7	information that that occurred at any other store	15:09:46
8	aside from 1868?	15:09:48
9	A. No.	15:09:55
10	. Q. Do you have any factual information that	15:09:56
11	suggests to you that Mr. Hansen was doing this	15:09:57
12	because some higher manager told him to do it?	15:10:01
13	A. Just hearsay.	15:10:06
14	Q. You don't have any direct factual	15:10:08
15	information about that; is that right?	15:10:10
16	A. No.	15:10:11
17	Q. You never heard another manager tell him	15:10:11
18	that. Mr. Hansen never said, well, I'm just doing	15:10:13
19	this because so and so told me to do it. You don't	15:10:17
20	have any direct accounting of that?	15:10:19
21	A. Just John telling me.	15:10:21
22	Q. So your information is John telling you; is	5 15:10:23
23	that right?	15:10:25
24	A. Yes, hearsay from him.	15:10:25
25	Q. Do you have	

1	how the district manager bonus was calculated?	15:12:15
2	A. No, I don't.	15:12:18
3	Q. You had a bonus as an assistant manager,	15:12:20
4	correct?	15:12:23
5	A. Once.	15:12:24
6	Q. That was based upon sales?	15:12:24
7	A. Yes.	15:12:25
8	Q. So if the store sales improved, managers at	15:12:26
9	the store level got bonuses, correct?	15:12:30
10	A. Correct.	15:12:33
11	Q. Did you have any factual information that	15:12:33
12	your bonus was related in any way to payroll hours?	15:12:34
13	A. No.	15:12:39
14	Q. Is that the case with Mr. Hansen as well?	15:12:39
15	Was his bonus based on the same criteria as yours,	15:12:42
16	or do you know?	15:12:45
17	A. I don't know.	15:12:46
18	Q. You never saw an e-mail that said, don't	15:12:49
19	pay people for time worked, did you, from	15:12:53
20	Mr. Tellstrom?	15:12:55
21	A. No.	15:12:56
22	Q. So the only e-mails you saw that gave you	15:12:56
23	any information was the e-mails that said, let's	15:13:03
24	keep employee hours in line with sales projections?	15:13:05
25	A. Yes. Or we are going to owe him.	

REPORTER CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the witness to the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that the witness was given an opportunity to read and correct said deposition and to subscribe the same. Should the signature of the witness not be affixed to the deposition, the witness shall not have availed himself or herself of the opportunity to sign or the signature has been waived.

I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this $\{0, 20, 7, 0\}$

SANDRA L. CARRANZA CSR No. 7062

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
PREFERRED REPORTERS
 1
                CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS
                    201 E. Watmaugh Road
 2
                  Sonoma, California 95476
                     Phone (707) 938-9227
 3
 4
     October 26, 2007
 5
          KELLY LOFQUIST
     TO:
                JEREMY R. FIETZ, ATTORNEY AT LAW
          C/0:
 6
          EDGAR LAW FIRM
          408 College Avenue
 7
          Santa Rosa, California 95401
 8
     RE: KASSONDRA BAAS AND KELLY LOFQUIST, individually
          and on behalf of all others similarly situated
 9
          vs. DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.
          Deposition taken October 15, 2007
10
          Reported by SANDRA L. CARRANZA, CSR No. 7062
11
     Dear Ms. Lofquist:
12
     The original transcript of your deposition taken in
     the above-entitled action has been prepared and is
13
     available at this office for your reading,
     correcting and signing. In the alternative, you may
14
     wish to review your counsel's copy. Please notify
     this office and all counsel of any corrections you
15
     wish to make.
16
     Your rights regarding signature of this deposition
     are contained in the California Code of Civil
17
     Procedure Section 2025.520. Unless otherwise
     directed, your original deposition transcript will
18
     be sealed after 35 days.
19
     If you wish to make arrangements to review the
     original transcript of your deposition, please
20
     contact this office during office hours, 9:00 to
     5:00 Monday through Friday, to make an appointment.
21
22
                        __Sincerely,
23
                       Sandra L. Carranza
24
                         CSR No. 7062
     cc: All counsel
25
26
```

REPORTER CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the witness to the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that the witness was given an opportunity to read and correct said deposition and to subscribe Should the signature of the witness not the same. be affixed to the deposition, the witness shall not have availed himself or herself of the opportunity to sign or the signature has been waived.

I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this October 26, 2007,

SANDRA L. CARRANZA CSR No. 7062

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Case	e 3:07-	cv-03108-JSW - Document 64 Filed 03/13/2008 - Page 108 of 122
	1	PREFERRED REPORTERS CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS
:		CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS 201 E. Watmaugh Road
	2	Sonoma, California 95476
	3	Phone (707) 938-9227
	4	
	_	October 26, 2007
	5	
		TO: KELLY LOFQUIST
	6	C/O: JEREMY R. FIETZ, ATTORNEY AT LAW
		EDGAR LAW FIRM
	7	408 College Avenue
	0	Santa Rosa, California 95401
	8	RE: KASSONDRA BAAS AND KELLY LOFQUIST, individually
	. 9	and on behalf of all others similarly situated
		vs. DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.
	10	Deposition taken October 15, 2007
		Reported by SANDRA L. CARRANZA, CSR No. 7062
	11	
		Dear Ms. Lofquist:
	12	The original transcript of your deposition taken in
	13	the above-entitled action has been prepared and is
	13	available at this office for your reading,
	14	correcting and signing. In the alternative, you may
		wish to review your counsel's copy. Please notify
:	15	this office and all counsel of any corrections you
:		wish to make.
	16	
	4 5	Your rights regarding signature of this deposition are contained in the California Code of Civil
	17	Procedure Section 2025.520. Unless otherwise
	18	directed, your original deposition transcript will
	10	be sealed after 35 days.
	19	
•		If you wish to make arrangements to review the
	20	original transcript of your deposition, please
•		contact this office during office hours, 9:00 to
	21	5:00 Monday through Friday, to make an appointment.
	22	Sincerely,
	23	Emba Curayajm
	24	Sandra L. Carranza
•	0 E	cc: All counsel
	25 26	CC. MII Counter
	20	
	1	·

Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64 Filed 03/13/2008 Page 109 of 122

EXHIBIT G

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

---000---

KASSONDRA BAAS AND KELLY LOFQUIST, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Case No. C0703108 JSW

DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF KASSONDRA BAAS

October 17, 2007

REPORTED BY:

SANDRA L. CARRANZA, CRR, RPR, CSR 7062

PREFERRED REPORTERS
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS
201 E. Watmaugh Road
Sonoma, California 95476
Phone (707) 938-9227

- 1 Mr. Hansen's attention?
- 2 A. Yes. No. I don't know if I advised her of
- 3 that.
- 4 Q. Did you advise her to call payroll?
- 5 A. The only thing I advised her was to check
- 6 her pay stubs.
- 7 Q. So your answer was no, you did not advise
- 8 her to call payroll, correct?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. Did you advise her to call human resources?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did you know, at that time, that there was
- 13 a Dollar Tree representative in California?
- 14 A. Like Candace?
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You're speaking of Candace Camp?
- 18 A. Yes, I'm sorry.
- 19 Q. How did you know that Candace was a human
- 20 resources employee?
- 21 A. Because the time period from April until,
- 22 possibly, July or August -- from possibly June,
- 23 maybe -- no. July or August, when I was still
- 24 getting \$7.35 an hour, I had e-mailed Candace Camp
- 25 about my increase in pay, unknowingly or not

- 1 thinking we didn't have a store manager to correct
- 2 all that, so I e-mailed Candace Camp, and she then
- 3 talked to Mike Cossolotto, who, at the time, was the
- 4 district manager, and then they sent me a retro,
- 5 retro pay for the increase in wages from the time
- 6 period of April 2006 to whatever my current --
- 7 whatever the current month was that I e-mailed.
- 8 Q. If I understand your answer, there was a
- 9 time when you did not get the increase after you had
- 10 been promoted, you e-mailed Candace Camp and said, I
- 11 want the increase, or what's going on here, or words
- 12 to that effect; she handled the matter and made sure
- 13 that you got the assistant manager pay retroactive
- 14 to when you started in that position?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. So your one encounter with human resources
- 17 at Dollar Tree found the function to be effective,
- 18 correct, it took care of the problem that you
- 19 raised?
- 20 A. Right. I e-mailed -- I e-mailed Candace a
- 21 few times on that matter and my status as an
- 22 assistant manager.
- 23 Q. Did you keep these e-mails?
- 24 A. No. Sorry. I -- I wasn't aware that I
- 25 could.

- 1 A. With regard to that message, no.
- 2 Q. I understand that you had made Care Line
- 3 reports but not on that topic; is that right?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. Am I correct that the Care Line is a phone
- 6 that you can -- a phone number that you call to make
- 7 any complaint or voice any concern you have, at all,
- 8 about Dollar Tree?
- 9 A. Employee and/or customers.
- 10 Q. How many times did you call the Care Line?
- 11 A. A few.
- 12 Q. Did you call using your name, or did you
- 13 make anonymous calls?
- 14 A. Both.
- 15 Q. Tell me all that you recall and what the
- 16 topics were, please.
- 17 A. With regard to John Hansen and the
- 18 overtime. I made calls and stated that there was
- 19 things going on around the store that needed -- that
- 20 needed attention or looking into.
- 21 Q. Did you ever, specifically, mention that
- 22 overtime wasn't being paid, or did you just,
- 23 generally, say things needed to be looked into?
- 24 A. I generalized.
- 25 Q. I want to be very clear that I understand

- 1 superior, "Hey, dude, you know, watch your step,"
- 2 or, you know, "Fix yourself."
- 3 I just wasn't aware if that was something
- 4 that, as an assistant, I could go to a store manager
- 5 and say.
- 6 Q. You had told us on one occasion, leaving a
- 7 message for Mr. Cossolotto that you have described.
- 8 Is that the only conversation, communication,
- 9 e-mail, any sort of communication that you had with
- 10 Mr. Cossolotto with respect to overtime issues?
- 11 A. I believe so.
- 12 Q. Did you ever have a communication with
- 13 Mr. Tellstrom with respect to overtime issues?
- 14 A. With respect overtime, specifically?
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. So you never communicated in any way to
- 18 Mr. Tellstrom a concern that Mr. Hansen was reducing
- 19 overtime from people's time records; is that right?
- 20 A. Specifically, no.
- 21 Q. Is that correct?
- 22 A. I mean, yes.
- 23 Q. When you said "Specifically, no," you're
- 24 saying, I never specifically said anything about
- 25 overtime to Mr. Tellstrom; is that correct?

- 1 A. I think one time face-to-face.
- 2 Q. How were the other communications
- 3 accomplished?
- 4 A. Over the phone.
- 5 Q. On each of those occasions, Mr. Tellstrom
- 6 would say, "What are you talking about? Give me
- 7 some details," something along those lines?
- 8 A. Right. He would -- he would want to talk
- 9 with me about it, but I didn't feel comfortable --
- 10 Q. Again, so we're talking about --
- 11 A. -- telling him specifics --
- 12 Q. I'm sorry. I will take responsibility for
- 13 that one.
- 14 Is the reason why you didn't give
- 15 Mr. Tellstrom any further details your lack of
- 16 comfort with talking about your supervisor, or is
- 17 there some other reason?
- 18 A. That's part of it. Keeping my job. I
- 19 didn't want to lose my job, and I was afraid that if
- 20 I "ratted out my boss," that I would be out soon
- 21 myself of a job.
- 22 Q. Is that because you thought Mr. Hansen had
- 23 the power to make the decision to terminate you?
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. You knew that what he was doing was against

1 company policy, correct?

- 2 A. I thought, yeah.
- 3 Q. So why would you be afraid about losing
- 4 your job for reporting some conduct that was against
- 5 company policy?
- 6 A. I don't know. I was just afraid.
- 7 Q. Did Mr. Tellstrom try to get you to give
- 8 him the information?
- 9 A. He did.
- 10 Q. Aside from the specifics that you have now
- 11 told me about that you recall, and that is the
- 12 specific with regard to Ms. Kosinski and the two
- 13 other specifics with regard to Ms. Pinole -- was
- 14 that her last name?
- 15 A. Pinola.
- 16 Q. Pinola?
- 17 A. I wanted to go back that one.
- 18 Q. Sure.
- 19 A. Because I don't -- I'm trying to remember
- 20 if it was Matthew was the .20, or if it was myself
- 21 that had the .20.
- 22 Q. You're not sure that Matthew was involved
- 23 in this?
- 24 A. Right. I am not for sure. I'm not
- 25 positive that it was Matthew that had the .20 or if

Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64 Filed 03/13/2008 Page 117 of 122

00253

- 1 Can I say something?
- 2 Q. Sure.
- 3 A. From what it looks like, every -- every
- 4 punch, like 1348, end lunch, ignore, she put start
- 5 break, 2:00 o'clock. And then she ignored it on the
- 6 next line.
- 7 Q. Right.
- 8 A. End break -- or wait. Yes, end break, and
- 9 then she ignored it. And then did the end lunch but
- 10 then ignored it. Oh, she ignored start lunch at
- 11 1418. She put end lunch, 1418. And, for whatever
- 12 reason, hit the start lunch again at 1418, but
- 13 ignored it. Then did the start break, end break.
- 14 Do you guys follow?
- 15 MR. FIETZ: Yeah.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Do you follow?
- 17 So the break within the lunch is now
- 18 nonexistent.
- 19 MR. FIETZ: Because it was ignored.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Correct. Or, well, I retract
- 21 -- not nonexistent; it is ignored. And then John
- 22 Hansen I punched out at 7:35, and he punched me out
- 23 at 6:30. And that's the end of my name.
- 24 MS. McCLAIN: Q. Do you have any
- 25 recollection of this particular day of November 18,

Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64 Filed 03/13/2008 Page 118 of 122 00254

- 1 2006?
- 2 A. Specifically?
- 3 **Q. Yes.**
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Can you tell me from looking at this series
- 6 of punches, with any certainty, what happened with
- 7 any of the punches?
- 8 MR. FIETZ: Objection. Vague.
- 9 MS. McCLAIN: Q. Can you tell me whether
- 10 you were paid correctly or incorrectly for this day
- 11 from looking at this document?
- 12 A. From looking at the document, it shows
- 13 incorrectly.
- 14 Q. Because you believe Mr. Hansen changed your
- 15 end shift punch to the -- almost --
- 16 A. 8.5 hours.
- 17 Q. Let's just look at his end punch for a
- 18 minute.
- 19 A. Oh, I'm sorry.
- 20 Q. An hour and five minutes, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So this appears to you to suggest that he
- 23 reduced your time by an hour and five minutes that
- 24 day, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64 Filed 03/13/2008 Page 119 of 122

00256

- 1 out a time when you stopped working. That was not a
- 2 mistake; is that right?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Do you remember, aside from the two
- 5 hours -- let me change that question.
- 6 Do you remember talking to Mr. Hansen about
- 7 any particular date, because you would have been
- 8 able to see these punches, correct? You would have
- 9 been able to go into the system the next day?
- 10 A. I don't -- you don't go into your own.
- 11 Q. Did you have access to look at your own?
- 12 A. You have access to look at your own, yes.
- 13 Q. You couldn't change it, but you could look
- 14 at it, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. So when you first started suspecting
- 17 Mr. Hansen of potentially making some changes in
- 18 your time, did you start looking at your time
- 19 regularly?
- 20 **A. No.**
- 21 Q. So you can't tell me today whether you saw
- 22 this in November of 2006 and brought it to
- 23 Mr. Hansen's attention or not?
- 24 A. I didn't bring anything of my own time to
- 25 Mr. Hansen's attention.

Case 3:07-cv-03108-JSW Document 64 Filed 03/13/2008 Page 120 of 122 00257

- 1 Q. So you can tell me you didn't bring it to
- 2 Mr. Hansen's attention. Can you tell me whether or
- 3 not you saw it?
- 4 A. No, I cannot.
- 5 Q. Is there any time when you would enter a
- 6 punch before the employee had clocked out?
- 7 A. You mean enter their punch before?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. They were done working?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 Is there any circumstances when an employee
- 12 would say, I'm going to be too busy to clock out.
- 13 Clock me out at X, and I'll leave then? Is that
- 14 ever a possibility that you'd have a prospective
- 15 clocking out that you know of?
- 16 A. Not that I'm aware of for myself.
- 17 Q. You certainly never did that; is that
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Can it be possible, to your knowledge, for
- 21 someone to enter an edit, that is, make a change
- 22 such that we're looking at, when they're not
- 23 working?
- 24 MR. FIETZ: Objection. Vague.
- 25 MS. McCLAIN: Q. Is there any way to make

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPORTER CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the witness to the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; that the witness was given an opportunity to read and correct said deposition and to subscribe Should the signature of the witness not the same. be affixed to the deposition, the witness shall not have availed himself or herself of the opportunity to sign or the signature has been waived.

I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties in said action, nor to their respective counsel.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set

my hand this October 30, 2007.

SANDRA L. CARRANZA CSR No. 7062

PREFERRED REPORTERS CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS 201 E. Watmaugh Road Sonoma, California 95476 Phone (707) 938-9227

October 30, 2007

KASSONDRA BAAS TO:

C/O: JEREMY R. FIETZ, ATTORNEY AT LAW

EDGAR LAW FIRM 408 College Avenue Santa Rosa, California 95401

KASSONDRA BAAS AND KELLY LOFQUIST, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

VS. DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. Deposition taken October 17, 2007

Reported by SANDRA L. CARRANZA, CSR No. 7062

Dear Ms. Baas:

The original transcript of your deposition taken in the above-entitled action has been prepared and is available at this office for your reading, correcting and signing. In the alternative, you may wish to review your counsel's copy. Please notify this office and all counsel of any corrections you wish to make.

Your rights regarding signature of this deposition are contained in the California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2025.520. Unless otherwise directed, your original deposition transcript will be sealed after 35 days.

If you wish to make arrangements to review the original transcript of your deposition, please contact this office during office hours, 9:00 to 5:00 Monday through Friday, to make an appointment.

Sincerely,

Sandra L. Carranda

CSR No. 7062

cc: All counsel